

AN EXPLORABLE PUBLIC-WORK OBSERVATORY

# ONE MIND. MANY WORLDS. BETTER QUESTIONS

A field guide to observing public work, explaining editorial relationships, reviewing machine-suggested bridges, and knowing when a line on a graph should not be believed.



<https://mind.sahilverse.online/>

# WHAT A MAP CAN AND CANNOT SHOW.

An atlas of projects is a model of public artefacts, not a model of every part of a person.

## 01 OBJECTS

The primary objects are projects, concepts, published essays, interactive experiments and questions. Each curated node carries a short description, a question, a serious objection and a source trail.

## 02 RELATIONSHIPS

A documented relation is supported by an explicit public source. An interpretive relation is an editorial hypothesis or analogy. Edges cannot establish causality or privileged access to thoughts.

## 03 UNKNOWNNS

Private experience, undisclosed failures, tacit knowledge, motives, and psychological traits remain outside the scope of the atlas. A public website cannot measure intelligence, diagnose a condition or rank human worth.

### The core discipline

Whenever a connection looks compelling, ask: What exactly is observed? What is inferred? What evidence would change the interpretation?

# START WITH A QUESTION, NOT A SCORE.

Use the atlas as an invitation to investigate, rather than an answer to who someone is.

## 01 Enter the Star Map

Look for the broad structure. Lines are drawn from curated relationships, not data-mined thoughts. Inspect a node before trusting the significance of its position.

## 02 Open Focus Orbit

On a phone, explore one idea at a time with readable cards and its nearest editorial neighbours. This is intentionally different from a shrunk desktop graph.

## 03 Travel an Expedition

Move through a deliberately authored sequence. At each stop, examine a guiding question and the objection that protects it from overclaiming.

## 04 Challenge the Model

Find an omitted project or a misleading analogy. Record what the revised map would do better. The act of questioning it is part of the experience.

# THE ATLAS CAN GROW. THE CLAIMS MUST NOT.

A public project feed can extend the map, provided its status language remains honest.

## 01 A SAFE MINIMAL SCHEMA

Only five fields should reach the public connector: title, URL, category, status and updated date. This avoids copying the workbook's private notes, financial columns, contact information or internal commentary.

### PUBLIC FEED CSV

title,url,category,status,updated

Example: The Kirana Observatory,https://thekiranaobservatory.sahiltayal.com/,Work,Listed,2026-10-26

## 02 DEPLOYMENT SEMANTICS

A feed row marked Live is a claim from its source, not a real-time uptime check. Retrieved means the feed itself was fetched successfully, not that each project was visited. Imported category connections are explicitly interpretive.

## 03 TWO INTEGRATION PATHS

Visitors can import a CSV or JSON file into the current browser session; the file is not uploaded by that control. Operators may also configure ATLAS\_FEED\_URL in Cloudflare Pages to retrieve a published public feed via the bundled Worker.

# DISCOVERY IS NOT AN ORACLE.

The Connection Lab proposes comparisons using a deliberately simple, inspectable method.

## 01 CANDIDATE GENERATION

Each existing topic has a small set of editorial keywords, such as uncertainty, memory, autonomy or human capability. The engine looks for unlinked nodes in different sectors with at least two shared tags.

## 02 WHAT THE RESULT SAYS

The proposal names the two subjects and the shared themes. It links to contextual source pages. It does not pretend a language model has independently verified a conceptual theory.

## 03 HUMAN REVIEW

Approve adds a dashed interpretive edge to the current browser session. Dismiss removes the suggestion from that session. Export creates a JSON review log for explicit editorial merging later.

### Reject the shortcut

Shared words can create superficial similarities. If the relationship does not lead to a useful, testable question, the intellectually responsible action is to reject it.

# THREE BRIDGES WORTH QUESTIONING.

Illustrative cross-disciplinary questions inspired by public Sahilverse works.

## IDENTITY-ACTION x OLFACTORY DESCRIPTION

A behavioural self-description and a fragrance label can both fail to match lived experience. Where does an idea stop being a metaphor and begin requiring independent measurement?

## KIRANA x RECIPROCITY

A shop can record credit precisely; people cannot be reduced to an interpersonal balance sheet. What information is legible to a ledger, and what ethical reality escapes it?

## HUMAN CAPITAL x INTERFACE DESIGN

Dashboards can make development visible. But if a metric becomes a proxy for human worth, the interface can mislead. What should a capability dashboard refuse to score?

# SIX ROUTES. NO FINAL VERDICT.

Choose an entry point based on the question that presently interests you.

## 01 Agency and the Identity-Action Gap

How do intention, friction and circumstances interact?

## 02 Perfumery and Memory

What can sensory materials support - and what is merely a story?

## 03 Human Capital and Education

Can institutions build capability without treating people as assets?

## 04 Observation and Reciprocity

Can we separate what happened from the motive we imagined?

## 05 Automation and Human Control

Which decisions belong to a tool, and which must remain reversible?

## 06 Becoming and Enough

How can revision, ambition and ordinary contentment coexist?

# BRING AN IDEA. LEAVE WITH A BETTER QUESTION.

Print this page, or use the prompts during a walk through the public archive.

01 Which two projects appear related - and why?

---

---

02 What is directly documented by a public source?

---

---

03 What part of the relation is my own inference?

---

---

04 What is the strongest alternative explanation?

---

---

05 What would count as evidence against my proposed link?

---

---

06 Does this link help a visitor learn or act more clearly?

---

---

# SOURCE DOORS AND EDITORIAL LIMITS.

Selected public destinations in the source trail. URLs may change after publication.

## Canonical Sahil Tayal archive

<https://sahiltayal.com/>

---

## Original Constellation

<https://constellation.sahiltayal.com/>

---

## Identity-Action Gap

<https://identityaction.sahiltayal.com/>

---

## Olfactory Cathedral

<https://olfactorycathedral.sahiltayal.com/>

---

## Reciprocity Atlas

<https://reciprocityatlas.sahiltayal.com/>

---

## Kirana Observatory

<https://thekiranaobservatory.sahiltayal.com/>

---

## Public status ledger

<https://status.sahilverse.online/>

---

## Mind Engine catalogue

<https://mind.sahilverse.online/catalogue.html>

---

# THE GRAPH IS ALLOWED TO BE WRONG.

A useful intellectual observatory makes correction easy and certainty expensive.

## 01 NEVER CLAIM

Do not describe an inferred edge as clinical evidence, intelligence measurement, proof of motivation, causality or psychological access. A clean animation can still depict a weak hypothesis.

## 02 DO VERIFY

Check URL status, inspect the source before citing it, retest the mobile controls, confirm security headers on Cloudflare, and assess whether data intended for a private workbook is public before publishing a feed.

## 03 DO INVITE CRITIQUE

Review logs are exportable precisely because claims should be revisable. A visitor who notices an error has contributed more than someone who merely admires the design.

### THE PURPOSE

Not to explain away a person. To make their published questions easier to find, compare, test and connect - while retaining the right to remain unfinished.